Unilever

Coalition member since 2020 | As of September 2022, palm oil, palm oil derivatives, direct soy, embedded soy, and paper, pulp, and fibre-based packaging (PPP) are material commodities for Unilever.

The following table includes a list of each Key Performance Indicator (KPI) for each material commodity, along with the company's 2021 and 2022 reporting record. The record includes the following responses:

- "Yes" indicates a company is reporting against a KPI and reporting quantitively where indicated
- "Yes – narrative reporting" indicates a company is reporting qualitatively against a quantitative KPI
- "Not yet reporting" response indicates a company is not yet reporting on a KPI
- "N/A" indicates a KPI was not established in a given year

Companies have also provided information on their performance against appropriate KPIs, along with methodologies and targets. This information has been self-reported by companies and verified by Proforest.

Links are provided to information when a company is reporting ("Yes") against a public information requirement, and when a company is reporting qualitatively ("Yes – narrative reporting") against a quantitative KPI.

For a full methodology on the Forest Positive Coalition's reporting process, including a list of all KPIs and public information requirements, visit transparency.tcgfforestpositive.com.

KPI	2021 Reporting Record & Performance	2022 Reporting Record & Performance	Methodology	Target (Optional)
Palm Oil				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive (or NDPE) goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		
1.3 Mill list using the conventions of the Universal Mill List to make group links	Yes	Yes		
1.4 Progress of mills toward forest positive (or NDPE)	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
1.5 Percentage traceable to mill	Yes *Can identify a universe of mills for 99% of our core volumes*	Yes *Can identify a universe of mills for 99% of our core volumes*	*Methodology not available*	
1.6 Percentage traceable to FFB sources	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	

1.7 Percentage physically certified (MB/SG)	Yes *By the end of 2020, we sustainably sourced 99.6% of our core volumes of palm oil and palm kernel oil, 94.3%* of which came from a number of physically certified sources: RSPO Mass Balance, RSPO Segregated or an equivalent standard that is independently verified by a third party. 5.3% of our volumes were from the purchase of RSPO independent smallholder certificates.* **Since 2017, we have reported only core volumes of palm oil under this KPI. Core volumes exclude derivatives of palm fatty acid distillates (which are by-products of the refining process), tail ingredients and materials processed by third-party manufacturers.*	Yes *Sustainably sourced 90% of our core palm oil volumes, with 86% coming from physically certified sources: RSPO Mass Balance, RSPO Segregated or an equivalent standard that is independently verified by a third party. We buy the remainder from RSPO independent smallholder credits*	*Methodology not available*	
Element 2 (Supplier and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Yes	Yes		
2.2 Percentage of direct suppliers engaged and informed of the Forest Positive Suppliers' Commitment and Forest Positive Approach	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *100% - All our suppliers have been introduced to the principles of our policy and we are working to achieve full implementation*	*Methodology not available*	

2.3 Performance of direct suppliers against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
Element 3 (Monitoring and Response) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
3.1 Summary of company grievance process that aligns with Coalition Deforestation Monitoring and Response Framework (MRF)	Yes	Yes		
3.2 Summary of progress of grievance cases [e.g. in grievance log or relevant progress report(s)]	N/A	Yes		
3.3 Coverage of deforestation and peat monitoring: percentage of supply base covered by deforestation and peat monitoring (including supplier and landscape monitoring systems) aligned with Monitoring Minimum Requirements	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
3.4 Coverage of deforestation and peat monitoring: For Coalition member companies involved, narrative summary of support provided to develop on the ground monitoring and response systems beyond own supply chains including landscape initiatives and sectoral collaborations	N/A	Yes		

3.5 Progress towards tackling deforestation and peat grievances: Percentage of supply mills with, or potentially linked to, deforestation and peat grievances	N/A	Yes *53 third-party/indirect suppliers with supply chain grievances - see grievance tracker*	*Methodology not available*	
3.6 Progress towards tackling deforestation and peat grievances: Percentage of deforestation and peat grievances where action taken in line with MRF steps and requirements	N/A	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
Palm Oil Derivatives				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive (or NDPE) goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		

1.7 Percentage physically certified (MB/SG)	Yes *By the end of 2020, we sourced 94.3%* of our palm oil and palm kernel oil from a number of physically certified sources: RSPO Mass Balance, RSPO Segregated or an equivalent standard that is independently verified by a third party. 5.3% of our volumes were from the purchase of RSPO independent smallholder certificates.* **Since 2017, we have reported only core volumes of palm oil under this KPI. Core volumes exclude derivatives of palm fatty acid distillates (which are by-products of the refining process), tail ingredients and materials processed by third-party manufacturers.*	Yes *86% of core palm volumes coming from physically certified sources: RSPO Mass Balance, RSPO Segregated or an equivalent standard that is independently verified by a third party. Breakdown for derivatives available through ACOP (2020 data): Click here*	*Methodology not available*	
Element 2 (Supplier and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Yes	Yes		
2.2 Percentage of direct suppliers engaged and informed of the Forest Positive Suppliers' Commitment and Forest Positive Approach	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *100% - All our suppliers have been introduced to the principles of our policy and we are working to achieve full implementation*	*Methodology not available*	
2.3 Performance of direct suppliers against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	

2.4 List of identified major upstream suppliers/traders prioritised	Yes	Yes	*Methodology not available*	
2.5 Upstream suppliers/traders prioritised, engaged (directly or via a collective approach) and informed of the Forest Positive Approach	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes *100% - All our suppliers have been introduced to the principles of our policy and we are working to achieve full implementation*	*Methodology not available*	
2.6 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire palm oil business	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
Element 3 (Monitoring and Response) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
3.7 Narrative summary of progress made towards reporting on Element 3 in 2023	N/A	Yes		
Direct Soy				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		

1.3 Percentage with known origin	Yes *Most of the direct soy we use in our products (96%) comes from identified origins at the country level*	Yes *96% of the soybean oil sourced in CY 2021 is traceable back to country of origin of the soybean*	*Methodology not available*	
1.4 Percentage from high-risk origins or unknown origins	Yes *Soybean originated in high risk or unknown origins: 22% of the soybean oil sourced by exit 2020 (exit 2020 refers to Q4 2020 sourcing data)*	Yes *25% of the soybean oil sourced by exit 2021 was originated in high-risk or unknown origins*	*Methodology not available*	
1.5 Progress on ensuring soy is conversion-free for high-risk origins	Yes *56% of soy originated in high-risk origins by exit 2020 was conversion-free via ProTerra certified segregated sourcing4.*	Yes *52% of soy originated in high-risk origins by exit 2021 was conversion-free via ProTerra certified segregated sourcing. We are working with suppliers to develop joint solutions for deforestation- and conversion-free soy for the remaining part of our soybean oil supply chain*	*Methodology not available*	
1.6 Percentage DCF supply from high-risk areas	Yes *By the end of 2020, about 56% of the soy sourced from high-risk origins was verified as deforestation and conversion-free. We are working with suppliers to develop joint solutions for deforestation and conversion-free soy for the remaining part of our soybean oil supply chain*	Yes *By the end of 2020, over 90% of our soybean oil originated in places with a low risk of deforestation, like the US, or in places that are certified deforestation-free by recognised industry standards with a segregated chain of custody. By the end of 2020, about 56% of the soy sourced from high-risk origins was verified as deforestation- and conversion-free*	*Methodology not available*	

Element 2 (Suppliers/Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers				
2.1 Direct supplier list	Yes	Yes		
2.2 Summary of Forest Positive Approach for suppliers and traders	Yes	Yes		
2.3 Percentage of Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	Yes *In 2020, we embedded People and Forest Positive requirements into the contracts of suppliers who contribute to 93% of our total soybean oil consumption – and we're working to achieve this with our remaining suppliers.*	Yes *In 2020, we embedded People and Forest Positive requirements into the contracts of suppliers who contribute to 93% of our total soybean oil consumption – and we're working to achieve this with our remaining suppliers.*	*Methodology not available*	
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	Yes – narrative reporting	Yes – narrative reporting	*Methodology not available*	
Embedded Soy				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
1.1 Policy commitments to the forest positive goals	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet		
1.3 Percentage with known origin	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
1.4 Percentage from high-risk origins or unknown origins	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
1.5 Progress on ensuring soy is conversion-free for high-risk origins	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
1.6 Percentage DCF supply from high-risk areas	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	

1.7 Soy footprint across all product categories	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
1.8 Methodology for soy footprint calculation	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet		
Element 2 (Suppliers and Traders) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers				
2.2 Summary of Forest Positive Approach for suppliers and traders	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet		
2.3 Percentage of Tier 1 suppliers to whom the Forest Positive Approach and its implementation have been communicated	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.4 Performance of Tier 1 suppliers against Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire operations	N/A	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.5 List of identified major upstream suppliers	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.6 Upstream suppliers/traders sourcing from high-risk origins that have been engaged (directly or via collective approach) and are being evaluated	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.7 Performance of upstream suppliers/traders against the elements of the Forest Positive Approach including progress on delivery across entire soy business	Not reporting yet	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	

Paper, Pulp, and Fibre-based Packaging (PPP)				
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers				
1.1 PPP Sourcing Policy including commitment to the forest positive goals	Yes	Yes		
1.2 Timebound action plan summary	Yes	Yes		
1.3 Percentage recycled, percentage virgin fibre	Yes *By the end of 2020, 96% of our directly-purchased paper and board packaging materials were made from recycled fibre, or came from certified sustainably-managed forests.*	Yes *97% of our directly purchased paper and board packaging materials were made from recycled fiber or came from certified sustainably managed forests.*	*Methodology not available*	
1.4 Percentage of virgin supply certified, and percentage per scheme and chain of custody model	Yes *By the end of 2020, 98.5% of our virgin supply was certified with chain of custody, with 92.6% of our total supply with third-party segregated chain of custody and/or low risk countries*	Yes *97% of our directly purchased paper and board packaging materials were made from recycled fiber or came from certified sustainably managed forests. More detailed information on volumes certified per scheme available in our CDP Report.*	*Methodology not available*	
1.5 Percentage of supply from high-priority sources	Yes *92.6% of our total supply with third-party segregated chain of custody and/or low risk countries*	Yes *48.8% of the paper and board sourced in 2021 originates from high-risk countries of which 95.6% are made from recycled fiber or are FSC/PEFC certified with full chain of custody*	*Methodology not available*	

1.6 Actions being taken for supply from high-priority sources	Yes	Yes *Note: By 2023 we expect to convert the remaining volumes to be certified deforestation and conversion free. We are actively engaging with our suppliers to develop bespoke solutions for deforestation and conversion-free paper and board in the remaining part of our supply chain aiming to work with their suppliers to increase traceability in the supply chain and achieve a fully certified deforestation free value chain*		
Element 1 (Own Supply) Key Performance Indicators for Manufacturers and Retailers				
2.1 Proportion of suppliers informed about the Forest Positive Suppliers Approach	N/A	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.2 Number or proportion of suppliers identified as priority for engagement, and percentage engaged	N/A	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	
2.3 Performance of engaged suppliers and changes over time including progress on delivery across entire business	N/A	Not reporting yet	*Methodology not available*	

Element 4 (Landscape Engagement) Key Performance Indicators | As of September 2022, Unilever is focusing its landscape engagement on palm oil and soy.

KPI	2021 Reporting Record	2022 Reporting Record	Methodology	Target (Optional)
Palm Oil				
4.1 Priority production landscapes identified	Yes	Yes	See KPI 4.2	
4.2 Methodology used to identify priority production landscapes	N/A	Yes		
4.3 Number of landscape initiatives currently involved in	N/A	Yes *5 initiatives*	*Methodology not available*	
4.4 For each landscape initiative your company is currently engaged in, information on: • Name, location, timeline and other partners involved • Report on type of engagement (e.g. disbursed financial support, in-kind support, capacity, preferential sourcing) • Specific actions or projects that are supported • How the actions intend to address systemic issues and contribute to delivering forest	N/A	Yes		

positive goals (at least one of conservation, restoration, positive inclusion of farmers and communities, landscape level multi-stakeholder platforms or partnerships) ● Linkages to shared landscape-level goals developed through multistakeholder processes				
Soy				
4.1 Priority production landscapes identified	N/A	Yes	See KPI 4.2	
4.2 Methodology used to identify priority production landscapes	N/A	Yes		
4.3 Number of landscape initiatives currently involved in	N/A	Yes *1 initiative*	*Methodology not available*	
4.4 For each landscape initiative your company is currently engaged in, information on: ● Name, location, timeline and other partners involved ● Report on type of engagement (e.g. disbursed financial support, in-kind	N/A	Yes		

support, capacity, preferential sourcing) ● Specific actions or projects that are supported ● How the actions intend to address systemic issues and contribute to delivering forest positive goals (at least one of conservation, restoration, positive inclusion of farmers and communities, landscape level multi-stakeholder platforms or partnerships) ● Linkages to shared landscape-level goals developed through multistakeholder processes				